

A METHOD OF BUILDING MULTIDIMENSIONAL WORKLOAD-AWARE HISTOGRAMS

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates generally to the field of database systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to the field of histogram construction for database systems.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Computer database systems manage the storage and retrieval of data in a database. A database comprises a set of tables of data along with information about relations between the tables. Tables represent relations over the data. Each table comprises a set of records of data stored in one or more data fields. The records of a table are also referred to as rows, and the data fields of records in a table are also referred to as columns.

A database server processes data manipulation statements or queries, for example, to retrieve, insert, delete, and modify data in a database. Queries are defined by a query language supported by the database system. To enhance performance in processing queries, database servers use information about the data distribution to help access data in a database more efficiently. Typical servers comprise a query optimizer which estimate the selectivity of queries and generate efficient execution plans for queries. Query optimizers generate execution plans based on the query and in doing so exploits statistical information on the column(s) of the table(s) referenced in the queries.

EL391521951 US
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the
U. S. Postal Service as Express Mail addressed to the
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D. C. 20503.

ON

6/14/01

1

Harish Bugunshi

Database servers may create histograms on the columns of tables to represent the distribution of a data. A histogram is one means of representing the distribution of data in a database. A histogram on a data attribute consists generally of a set of partitions or boundaries which divide the range of data on the attribute into a set of segments or buckets. Also associated with each bucket is a frequency which corresponds to the number of data tuples which fall within the boundaries of the bucket. The frequency associated with a bucket, or bucket frequency, is an indication of the density of data within the bucket's boundaries, and should not be confused with the absolute value of the data within the bucket.

The accuracy of the estimations of the query optimizer are enhanced by the availability of histograms, however, creating and maintaining histograms can incur significant costs, particularly for large databases. This problem is particularly striking for multi-dimensional histograms that capture joint distributions of correlated data attributes. Although multi-dimensional histograms can be highly valuable, the relatively high cost of building and maintaining them often prevents their use.

Query optimization in relational database systems has traditionally relied on single-attribute histograms to compute the selectivity of queries. For queries that involve multiple attributes, most database systems make the attribute value independence assumption, i.e., assume that $Prob(A1 = v1, A2 = v2) = Prob(A1 = v1) \times Prob(A2 = v2)$, which may of course lead to significant inaccuracy in selectivity estimation.

An alternative to assuming attribute value independence is to use histograms over multiple attributes, which are generally referred to as multidimensional histograms. Ideally, multidimensional histograms should consist of buckets that enclose regions of the

data domain with close-to-uniform tuple density. At the same time, multidimensional histograms should be sufficiently compact and efficiently computable. Unfortunately, existing multidimensional histogram construction techniques fail to satisfy these requirements robustly across data distributions.

Several techniques exist in the literature to compute selectivity estimators of multidimensional data sets. These techniques include wavelets and discrete cosine transformations, sampling, and multidimensional histograms. The $V\text{-optimal}(f,f)$ family of histograms groups contiguous sets of frequencies into buckets and minimizes the variance of the overall frequency approximation. These histograms work well for estimating the result size of tree, function tree, equality join, and selection queries under a definition of optimality that captures the average error over all possible queries and databases. However, these histograms need to record every distinct attribute value inside each bucket, which is impractical. Moreover, the construction algorithm involves an exhaustive and exponential enumeration of all possible histograms. A more practical approach is to restrict the attention to $V\text{-optimal}(v,f)$ histograms, which group contiguous sets of values into buckets, minimizing the variance of the overall frequency approximation. A dynamic programming algorithm has been presented for building unidimensional $V\text{-optimal}(v,f)$ histograms in $O(N^2b)$ time, where N is the number of tuples in the data set and b is the desired number of buckets. However, even for two-dimensional data sets, building the $V\text{-optimal}(v,f)$ histogram using arbitrary rectangular buckets is $NP\text{-Hard}$. Therefore, practical static multidimensional histogram techniques use heuristics to partition the data space into buckets.

A multidimensional version of the *Equi-Depth* histogram recursively partitions the data domain into buckets with the same frequency, one dimension at a time. A technique called *Mhist* is based on $MaxDiff(v, a)$ histograms in which the data domain is iteratively partitioned using a greedy procedure. In each step, $MaxDiff(v, a)$ identifies the bucket in most need of partitioning and splits it along the dimension with the highest difference in frequency between consecutive values. *GenHist* histograms allow unrestricted overlap among buckets. If more than two buckets overlap, the density of tuples in their intersection is approximated as the sum of the data densities of the overlapping buckets. For the technique to work, a tuple that lies in the intersection of many buckets is counted in only one of them (chosen probabilistically). Progressively coarser grids are constructed over the data set and the densest cells are converted into buckets of the histogram. A certain percentage of tuples in those cells is removed to make the resulting distribution smoother.

The above discussed histogram techniques are static in the sense that after the histograms are built, their buckets and frequencies remain fixed regardless of any changes in the data distribution. One technique decides when reorganization is needed by using thresholds that depend on the number of updates over the relation or the accuracy of the histogram. For example, if the average estimation error is above a given value, the whole histogram is discarded and rebuilt from scratch. Some techniques consider histogram refinement as an alternative to periodic reconstruction. One such technique maintains a backing sample and an approximate *Equi-Depth* histogram in memory. During insertions and deletions, both the sample and the histogram are updated. When the *Equi-Depth* constraint that all bucket frequencies should be equal is violated beyond a

given threshold, some buckets are split and others are merged to restore the *Equi-Depth* constraint. If no reorganization can restore the constraint, the existing histogram is discarded and a new one is built from the sample. Another technique considers dynamic compressed histograms that store some values in singleton or singular buckets, while the rest are partitioned using *Equi-Depth* into regular buckets. The general idea is to relax histogram constraints up to a certain point, after which the histogram is reorganized so that it satisfies the constraints. For dynamic compressed histograms, a χ^2 test is used to determine when to reorganize. This restructuring uses promotion from singular to regular buckets, degradation from regular to singular buckets, and redistribution of the remaining regular buckets as the only operations. These histogram techniques deal only with unidimensional data.

One parametric technique for approximating data distributions that uses feedback from the query execution engine represents the data distribution as a linear combination of model functions. The weighting coefficients of this linear combination are adjusted using feedback information and a least squares technique. This technique is dependent on the choice of model functions and assumes that the data follows some smooth and known distribution. *STGrid* histograms use query feedback to refine buckets. An *STGrid* histogram greedily partitions the data domain into disjoint buckets that form a grid, and refines their frequencies using query feedback. After a predetermined number of queries, the histogram is restructured by merging and splitting rows of buckets one at a time (to preserve the grid structure). Accuracy is traded for efficiency in histogram tuning, a goal of this technique. Since *STGrid* histograms need to maintain the grid structure at all times, and due to the greedy nature of the technique, some locally beneficial splits and

merges have the side effect of modifying distant and unrelated regions, hence decreasing the overall accuracy.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Self-tuning histograms for databases have a plurality of existing buckets defined by at least two bucket boundaries, a bucket volume, and a bucket frequency. The results of a query executed on the database are examined and at least one candidate hole in the histogram is created based on the results of the query. Each candidate hole is modified such that the modified hole is completely contained within at least one existing parent bucket and does not partially intersect any existing bucket. A new child bucket is created in the histogram corresponding to each modified hole.

In one implementation, each bucket has a rectangular shape. The boundaries of the candidate hole correspond to a region of the database accessed by the query and the frequency of the candidate hole is a number of data records returned by the query. Buckets are merged based on a merge criterion when the total number of buckets exceeds a predetermined budget. In this implementation, the merge criterion is a similar bucket density, wherein bucket density is based on the bucket frequency divided by the bucket volume. In this implementation, the frequency of the parent bucket is diminished by the frequency of the child bucket.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is an exemplary operating environment for practice of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a block diagram of components used for practice of an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 3 is a block diagram illustrating a histogram constructed in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 is a flow diagram depicting the steps of a method for practicing an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 5 is a block diagram of the practice of an aspect of the present invention;

Figure 6 is a block diagram of the practice of an aspect of the present invention;

Figure 7 is a block diagram of the practice of an aspect of the present invention;

Figure 8 is a block diagram of the practice of an aspect of the present invention;

and

Figure 9 is a block diagram of the practice of an aspect of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

With reference to Figure 1 an exemplary embodiment of the invention is practiced using a general purpose computing device 20. Such a computing device is used to implement the database system 18 depicted in Figure 2. The device 20 includes one or more processing units 21, a system memory 22, and a system bus 23 that couples various system components including the system memory to the processing unit 21. The system

bus 23 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures.

The system memory includes read only memory (ROM) 24 and random access memory (RAM) 25. A basic input/output system 26 (BIOS), containing the basic routines that helps to transfer information between elements within the computer 20, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM 24.

The computer 20 further includes a hard disk drive 27 for reading from and writing to a hard disk, not shown, a magnetic disk drive 28 for reading from or writing to a removable magnetic disk 29, and an optical disk drive 30 for reading from or writing to a removable optical disk 31 such as a CD ROM or other optical media. The hard disk drive 27, magnetic disk drive 28, and optical disk drive 30 are connected to the system bus 23 by a hard disk drive interface 32, a magnetic disk drive interface 33, and an optical drive interface 34, respectively. The drives and their associated computer-readable media provide nonvolatile storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data for the computer 20. Although the exemplary environment described herein employs a hard disk, a removable magnetic disk 29 and a removable optical disk 31, it should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of computer readable media which can store data that is accessible by a computer, such as magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks, Bernoulli cartridges, random access memories (RAMs), read only memories (ROM), and the like, may also be used in the exemplary operating environment.

A number of program modules may be stored on the hard disk, magnetic disk 29, optical disk 31, ROM 24 or RAM 25, including an operating system 35, one or more

application programs 36, other program modules 37, and program data 38. A user may enter commands and information into the computer 20 through input devices such as a keyboard 40 and pointing device 42. Other input devices (not shown) may include a microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are often connected to the processing unit 21 through a serial port interface 46 that is coupled to the system bus, but may be connected by other interfaces, such as a parallel port, game port or a universal serial bus (USB). A monitor 47 or other type of display device is also connected to the system bus 23 via an interface, such as a video adapter 48. In addition to the monitor, personal computers typically include other peripheral output devices (not shown), such as speakers and printers.

The computer 20 may operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 49. The remote computer 49 may be another personal computer, a server, a router, a network PC, a peer device or other common network node, and typically includes many or all of the elements described above relative to the computer 20, although only a memory storage device 50 has been illustrated in Figure 1. The logical connections depicted in Figure 1 include a local area network (LAN) 51 and a wide area network (WAN) 52. Such networking environments are commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

When used in a LAN networking environment, the computer 20 is connected to the local network 51 through a network interface or adapter 53. When used in a WAN networking environment, the computer 20 typically includes a modem 54 or other means for establishing communications over the wide area network 52, such as the Internet. The

modem 54, which may be internal or external, is connected to the system bus 23 via the serial port interface 46. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to the computer 20, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. It will be appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

Figure 2 illustrates a block diagram of a database system 18 that features histograms 62 that are updated by an online build/refine module 63 in accordance with the present invention. When a user presents a query 67 to the database system 18, a query optimizer 61 reviews the query and develops a query plan 68 to efficiently determine the answer to the query. In developing the plan 68, the optimizer 61 uses selectivity estimations that are stored in the form of histograms 62. The execution plan is passed on to an execution engine 64 to execute the query and return a result 69 to the user. The online build/refine module 63 accesses the result 69 and in turn tunes the histograms 62 based on the query result 69.

Figure 3 illustrates a histogram 62 that is constructed in accordance with the present invention. The histogram 62 features histogram buckets (b_1 - b_4) that are in a nested configuration, such that each bucket is composed of a rectangular bounding box denoted $box(b)$ and a real valued frequency, denoted $f(b)$, which indicates the number of tuples enclosed by bucket b . In a traditional histogram, a bucket b would be “solid” with no “holes,” and the region that b covers would be regarded as having uniform tuple density. In contrast, the histogram 62 identifies sub-regions of a bucket b with different tuple density and pulls them from b . The bucket b_1 has holes b_2 - b_4 that are themselves histogram buckets. Buckets b_2 - b_4 are children of bucket b_1 , and their bounding boxes are

disjoint and completely enclosed in bucket b_1 . In this manner, a histogram 62 can be conceptually treated as a tree structure, where each node represents a bucket. For the purposes of this detailed description, frequencies of children buckets are pulled out of the frequency of the parent bucket, however the present invention contemplates adding the frequencies of the children buckets to that of the parent bucket.

Examining the histogram 62 in Figure 3 more closely, the root of the histogram tree is bucket b_1 , with frequency 100. Bucket b_1 has two children, buckets b_2 and b_3 , with frequencies of 500 and 1,000, respectively. Bucket b_3 has one child, b_4 with frequency 200. The region associated with a particular bucket excludes that of its descendants, which can be thought of as holes in the parent space. Requiring the children buckets to be of rectangular shape allows the region modeled by the parent bucket b_1 to be non-rectangular. A query that covers the lower half of bucket b_3 will be estimated to return nearly 1,000 tuples, even when it covers half of b_3 's bounding box, because the other half is not really part of b_3 . More precisely, bucket b_4 covers that region.

The volume of a bucket b is defined as $vBox(b) - \sum_{b' \in \text{children}(b)} vBox(b')$ where $vBox(b)$ is the volume of $box(b)$. Given a histogram H over a data set D , and a range query q , the estimated number of D tuples that lie inside q , $est(H, q)$, is:

$$est(H, q) = \sum_{b \in H} f(b) \frac{v(q \cap b)}{v(b)}$$

Where $v(q \cap b)$ denotes the volume of the intersection of q and b (not $box(b)$).

Figure 4 is a flow diagram depicting a method 400 employed by the online tuner (63 in Figure 2) to update the histograms (62 in Figure 2) used to in query optimization. The general idea of the method 400 is to intercept the result of queries in the workload

and efficiently gather some simple statistics over them to progressively refine the layout and frequency of the existing buckets. This way, the regions that are more heavily queried will benefit from having more buckets with finer granularity. In step 410, a number N is determined that represents the total number of buckets allowed due to storage constraints. In step 415 an initial histogram is identified to be updated by the method 400. The initial histogram is a single empty “root bucket” that is expanded based on the results to queries. The initial histogram may also be a trivial histogram with only one bucket with frequency zero covering all of the data domain. Alternatively, an existing histogram may be used. In step 420 the result to a query q that is issued to the database is intercepted and the method counts the number of tuples in the result that fall within each bucket of the current histogram.

In step 430, the method identifies candidate holes based on the results of query q . Such holes correspond to a bucket’s sub-regions with distinctive tuple frequency. These holes are exploited to update the histogram. In general, a query q intersects some buckets only partially. For each such bucket b_i , we know the exact number of tuples in $q \cap b_i$ by inspecting the results for q . If $q \cap b_i$ has a disproportionately large or small fraction of the tuples in b_i , then $q \cap b_i$ is a candidate to become a hole of bucket b_i . Each partial intersection of q and a histogram bucket can be used to improve the quality of the histogram.

EXAMPLE 1

Referring now to Figure 5, a bucket b with frequency $f(b) = 100$ is shown. The result stream for a query q indicates that $T_b = 90$ tuples that lie within the part of bucket b that is touched by query q , $q \cap b$. This means that bucket b is significantly skewed, since

90% of its tuples are located in a small fraction of its volume. The accuracy of the histogram is improved if a new bucket b_n is created by drilling a hole in b_l that corresponds to the region $q \cap b$ and adjust b_l and b_n 's frequencies as illustrated in Figure 5.

If the intersection of a query q and a bucket b is rectangular as in Example 1, it is always considered a candidate hole. However, it is not always possible to create a hole in a bucket b to form a new bucket $q \cap b$. This is because the children of b might be taking some of b 's space, and therefore the bounding box of $q \cap b$ may not be rectangular anymore thus violating the rectangular partitioning constraint imposed on the histogram by the method. In Example 1, Figure 5, the intersection between q and b 's parent b_p has an L shape. In this case the method 400 approximates a rectangular shape by shrinking $q \cap b$ to a large rectangular sub-region that does not intersect the bounding box of any other bucket (steps 450 and 460). The number of tuples in the sub-region is estimated assuming uniformity. If T_b is the number of tuples in $q \cap b$ and c is the result of shrinking $q \cap b$, T_c , the number of tuples in c , is estimated to be $T_c = T_b \frac{v(c)}{v(q \cap b)}$.

EXAMPLE 2

Figure 6 shows a four bucket histogram and the progressive shrinking of the initial candidate hole, $c = q \cap b$. The buckets that partially intersect with c , called participants in the algorithm are b_1 and b_2 (b_3 is completely included in c). The hole is shrunk along the vertical dimension so that the resulting candidate hole c' does not intersect with b_1 anymore. Then c' is shrunk along the horizontal dimension so that the resulting candidate c'' does not intersect with b_2 . At this point there is no bucket that

partially intersects with c'' . The resulting candidate hole c'' is rectangular and covers a significant portion of the original $q \cap b$ region.

After a candidate hole has been shrunk to an appropriate shape such that it does not intersect with any child of b_i , if necessary, the hole is drilled as a new histogram bucket in step 465 (Figure 4). Three possible scenarios exist with respect to the drilling of a new bucket " c " in the bucket b_i . 1) If bucket b_i and c reference exactly the same region, b_i 's frequency is replaced with T_c . This is because c and b_i represent essentially the same space so an additional hole would not be appropriate. 2) If c completes b_i 's space such that the sum of all b_i 's children including c would represent the entire space of b_i , b_i is merged with its parent and c is then made a child of b_i 's parent. 3) If neither 1) nor 2) applies, a new child of b_i , denoted b_n , with $\text{box}(b_n) = c$ and $f(b_n) = T_c$ is created. All of b_i 's children whose bounding boxes are completely included in c are moved so they become children of the new bucket b_n . The frequency of b_i is restored, whenever possible, to the previous frequency counts. If $f(b_i) \geq T_c$, T_c is subtracted from $f(b_i)$. Otherwise $f(b_i)$ is set to zero.

In step 470, the number of buckets including any new buckets is compared to the total bucket budget number N obtained in step 410. If the number of buckets exceeds the budget, the similar buckets are merged in step 480 to get the total number of buckets below the budget. Two buckets are similar if they have a close tuple density.

EXAMPLE 3

Figure 7 depicts a three bucket histogram H . Given a two bucket budget, buckets b_1 and b_2 can be merged resulting in histogram H_1 or buckets b_1 and b_3 can be merged resulting in histogram H_2 . Although buckets b_1 and b_3 have the same frequency in H

(100 tuples each), histogram H_1 is more similar to the original, three bucket histogram H than is H_2 . In fact, both H and H_1 result in the same selectivity estimation for arbitrary range queries, since b_1 and b_2 's densities are the same in H_1 . In contrast, histogram H_2 returns lower selectivity estimations than H for range queries that only cover the lower half of new bucket b_n , since the tuple density of bucket b_3 is lower than the tuple density of bucket b_1 in histogram H .

A penalty function is used to return the cost of merging a pair of buckets. If two buckets b_1 and b_2 from histogram H are to be merged to form H' , the penalty of the merging of b_1 and b_2 is:

$$penalty(H, b_1, b_2) = \int_{p \in dom(D)} |est(H, p) - est(H', p)| dp$$

where $dom(D)$ is the domain of the data set D . In other words, the penalty for merging two buckets measures the difference in approximation accuracy between the old, more expressive histogram where both buckets are separate and the new, smaller histogram where the buckets have been collapsed. A merge with a small penalty will result in little difference in approximation for range queries and therefore will be preferred over another merge with higher penalty. Since estimated density of tuples inside a bucket is constant by definition, penalty functions can be calculated efficiently. All regions r_i in the data domain with uniform density of tuples are identified before and after the merge, and a finite number of terms of the form $|est(H, r_i) - est(H', r_i)|$ is added as explained below.

There are two families of merges that correspond to merging adjacent buckets in the tree representation of the histogram: parent-child merges and sibling-sibling merges. In a parent-child merge, a bucket is merged with its parent. In a sibling-sibling merge, two buckets with the same parent are merged possibly taking some of the parent space

(since both siblings must be enclosed in a rectangular bounding box). Parent-child merges are useful to eliminate buckets that become too similar to their parents, e.g., when their own children cover all interesting regions and therefore carry all useful information. Sibling-sibling merges are useful to extrapolate frequency distributions to yet unseen regions in the data domain, and also to consolidate buckets with similar density that cover close regions.

EXAMPLE 4

Figure 8 illustrates a parent-child merge of buckets b_c (child) and b_p (parent). After the merge a new bucket b_n replaces b_p , and the bucket b_c disappears. The new bucket b_n has $\text{box}(b_n) = \text{box}(b_p)$ and $f(b_n) = f(b_c) + f(b_p)$. Therefore, $v(b_n) = v(b_c) + v(b_p)$. The only regions in the original histogram that change the estimated number of tuples after the merge are b_p and b_c . The penalty of merging b_p and b_c is:

$$\text{penalty}(H, b_p, b_c) = \left| f(b_p) - f(b_n) \frac{v(b_p)}{v(b_n)} \right| + \left| f(b_c) - f(b_n) \frac{v(b_c)}{v(b_n)} \right|$$

where H' is the histogram that results from merging b_p and b_c in H . The remaining points p in the histogram domain are such that $\text{est}(H, p) = \text{est}(H', p)$, so they do not contribute to the penalty.

EXAMPLE 5

Figure 9 illustrates a sibling-sibling merge of buckets b_1 and b_2 with common parent b_p . The bounding box of the resulting bucket b_n is determined as the smallest box that encloses both b_1 and b_2 and does not intersect partially with any other child of b_p . In the extreme situation, $\text{box}(b_n)$ is equal to b_p and the sibling-sibling merge is transformed into two parent merges, b_1 and b_p , and b_2 and b_p . Otherwise, the set I of participant buckets is defined as the set of b_p 's children (excluding b_1 and b_2) that are included in

$box(b_n)$. After the merge, the new bucket b_n replaces buckets b_1 and b_2 . In general, b_n will also contain some portion of the old b_p . The volume of the portion is $v_{old} = vBox(b_n) - (vBox(b_1) + vBox(b_2) + \sum_{b_i \in I} vBox(b_i))$. Therefore, the frequency of the new bucket is $f(b_n) = f(b_1) + f(b_2) + f((b_p)v_{old}/v(b_p))$. Also, the modified frequency of b_p in the histogram becomes $f(b_p)(1 - v_{old}/v(b_p))$. To complete the merge, the buckets in I and the children of the old b_1 and b_2 become children of the new b_n . Therefore $v(b_p) = v_{old} + v(b_1) + v(b_2)$. The only regions in the original histogram that change the estimated number of tuples after the merge are the ones corresponding to b_1 , b_2 and the portion of b_p enclosed by $box(b_n)$.

Hence:

$$penalty(H, b_1, b_2) = \left| f(b_n) \frac{v_{old}}{v(b_n)} - f(b_p) \frac{v_{old}}{v(b_p)} \right| + \left| f(b_1) - f(b_n) \frac{v(b_1)}{v(b_n)} \right| + \left| f(b_2) - f(b_n) \frac{v(b_2)}{v(b_n)} \right|$$

Where H' is the histogram that results from merging b_1 and b_2 in H , and r_{old} is the portion of the old bucket b_p covered by the new bucket b_n . The remaining points p in the histogram are such that $est(H, p) = est(H', p)$, so they do not contribute to the merge penalty.

As can be seen from the foregoing description the method of updating histograms of the present invention allows buckets to be nested and tunes the histogram to the specific query workload received by the database system. Buckets are allocated where needed the most as indicated by the workload, which leads to improved query selectivity estimations.

In the foregoing description, the invention has been described with reference to specific exemplary embodiments thereof. It will, however, be evident that various

modifications and changes may be made thereto without departing from the broader spirit or scope of the present invention as defined in the appended claims. The specification and drawings are, accordingly, to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense.

100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000